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Foreword

To understand climate variability we must first delineate what kind of behavior must be understood. Do changes in the more energetic parts of the global climate machine occur gradually or suddenly? If there are clear "climate signals," where in the global domain do they appear first? How do they evolve in time? Do the signals reflected in various geophysical fields relate to one another in physically consistent ways? Do the forcing fields exhibit time variability that is consistent with the response fields? What does the behavior tell us about possible causes of climatic variability?

The opportunity to explore such questions has been severely limited by the availability of observations reflecting past behavior. Only since the advent of satellites have we been able to observe some few parameters on a global basis. Only since World War II have there been enough upper air observations to explore the vertical dimension and they are sparsely distributed. Only with surface observations can we extend the record of past behavior back into the last century.

In doing so, we find that the land stations having long records are too few to delineate spatial variability over the planet. Over the ocean areas, however, ship observations provide a richer record. They are good enough to delineate the time variability of the major wind systems and related fields of surface pressure and temperature.

The incentive for developing the Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set (COADS) was to make this record available to the individual investigator in a form that is reliable and easy to use. The global marine surface data set contains the most detailed record we will ever have of the dynamics of the global climate system over the last century and more. It should trigger rapid progress in understanding by making it possible to delineate the spatial and temporal characteristics of the several sharp adjustments of the global circulation that have occurred, and to glean from them clues to the nature and causes of global climate variability. COADS provides the material for diagnostic research to identify and explore the key questions. It also provides the needed boundary conditions for model simulation of the climate system variability.

It has taken four years and much effort by many individuals and several institutions to obtain and process the hundreds of tapes containing the basic data input. All of this effort was provided from ongoing activities; there was no appropriation identified for the task. It is a tribute to the spirit of cooperation among the participating organizations that the task has been successfully completed.

Throughout the effort, the support and encouragement of Dr. Wilmot N. Hess was crucial, as Director of ERL during the early stages and as Director of NCAR during the later stages.

Joseph O. Fletcher
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Abstract

Global marine data observed during 1854-1979, primarily by ships-of-opportunity, have been collected, edited, and summarized statistically for each month of each year of the period, using 2° latitude \times 2° longitude boxes. Products now available in a first release from this Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set (COADS) include fully quality-controlled (trimmed) reports and summaries. Each of the 70 million unique reports contains 28 elements of weather, position, etc., as well as flags indicating which observations were statistically trimmed. The summaries give 14 statistics, such as the median and mean, for each of eight observed variables of air and sea surface temperatures, wind, pressure, humidity, and cloudiness, plus 11 derived variables. Relatively noisy (untrimmed) individual reports and summaries (giving 14 statistics for each of the eight observed variables) are available for investigators who prefer their own quality control. Two other report forms, inventories, and decade-month summaries are among the other data products available. FORTRAN 77 software available to help read "packed binary" data products and processing details, such as the method of identifying duplicate reports, are also described.

0. Introduction

Since 1854, ships of many countries have been taking regular observations of local weather, sea surface temperature, and many other characteristics near the boundary between the ocean and the atmosphere. The observations by one such ship-of-opportunity at one time and place, usually incidental to its voyage, make up a marine report. In later years fixed research vessels, buoys, and other devices have contributed data. Marine reports have been collected, often in machine-readable form, by various agencies and countries. That vast collection of data, spanning the global oceans from the mid-nineteenth century to date, is the historical ocean-atmosphere record.

The aim of this project was to assemble and reduce machine-readable portions of the available historical ocean-atmosphere record into a regular, compact, easily-used data base at three principal resolutions: 1) individual reports, 2) year-month summaries of the individual reports in 2° latitude \times 2° longitude boxes, and 3) decade-month summaries. Duplicate reports judged inferior by a first quality control process designed by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) were eliminated or flagged, and "untrimmed" monthly and decadal summaries were computed for acceptable data within each 2° latitude \times 2° longitude box. Tighter, median-smoothed limits were used as criteria for statistical rejection of apparent outliers from the data used for separate sets of "trimmed" monthly and decadal summaries. Individual observations were retained in report form but flagged during this second quality control process if they fell outside 2.8 or 3.5 (trimmed from statistics) estimated standard-deviations about the smoothed median applicable to their 2° latitude \times 2° longitude box, month, and 56-, 40-, or 30-year period (i.e., 1854-1909, 1910-1949, or 1950-1979).
Eight "observed" variables were included in the untrimmed monthly summaries:

1  $S$  sea surface temperature
2  $A$  air temperature
3  $W$  scalar wind
4  $U$  vector wind eastward component
5  $V$  vector wind northward component
6  $P$  sea level pressure
7  $C$  total cloudiness
8  $Q$  specific humidity

Included in the trimmed monthly summaries were the eight observed variables plus 11 derived variables:

9  $R$  relative humidity
10  $D$  $S - A =$ sea-air temperature difference
11  $E$  $(S - A)W =$ sea-air temperature difference*wind magnitude
12  $F$  $Q_s - Q =$ (saturation $Q$ at $S) - Q$
13  $G$  $FW = (Q_s - Q)W$ (evaporation parameter)
14  $X$  $WU$
15  $Y$  $WV$ (14-15 are wind stress parameters)
16  $I$  $UA$
17  $J$  $VA$
18  $K$  $UQ$
19  $L$  $VQ$ (16-19 are sensible and latent heat transport parameters)

For each variable, 14 statistics were computed:

1  $d$  mean day-of-month of observations
2  $h$  hour statistic
3  $x$  mean longitude of observations
4  $y$  mean latitude of observations
5  $n$  number of observations
6  $m$  mean
7  $s$  standard deviation
8  $0$  0/6 sextile (the minimum)
9  $1$  1/6 sextile (a robust estimate of $m - 1s$)
10  $2$  2/6 sextile
11  $3$  3/6 sextile (the median)
12  $4$  4/6 sextile
13  $5$  5/6 sextile (a robust estimate of $m + 1s$)
14  $6$  6/6 sextile (the maximum)

All the other historical observations, such as present and past weather, visibility, and waves, are available in report form.

This report gives an overall description of the workplan, indicating products available in this first release of the Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set (COADS). Sources of the data, some characteristics of their distribution in time and space, and cautions in using them are
also included. Product formats, software listings, processing details, and background material are presented in supplements A-K to this report. A number enclosed in brackets refers to references, e.g., [1].

Release 1 of COADS offers 14 data products; 13 available from the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), and one available from NCDC. Because of the volume of data and for reasons of computational efficiency, all but the NCDC product are stored in "packed binary" formats, whereby data were coded as positive integers and the resultant binary bit-strings were packed into bytes of the smallest convenient length. Reconstruction of floating-point data requires that the byte length and two other characteristics of each field be externally specified. Machine-transportable* FORTRAN 77 software that includes these specifications is available in addition to the data products (see supp. H).

Global systems of numbering $10^\circ$ latitude x $10^\circ$ longitude and $2^\circ$ latitude x $2^\circ$ longitude boxes** were also developed with the efficient and convenient storage of data in mind. Figure 0-1 illustrates the $10^\circ$ box system, which has box numbers spiraling eastward down from number 1, with its lower-left (SW) corner at $30^\circ$ E, $80^\circ$ N, to number 648 at $20^\circ$ E, $90^\circ$ S. The

* Machine-transportable software may require changes to work on different computer systems (given certain minimum machine requirements), but these modifications are few and well defined.

** The notation BOXn (e.g., BOX2 or BOX10) will be used to denote an n° latitude x n° longitude box, or more simply, n° box.

---

*Figure 0-1. 10° box numbering system*
30°E division was chosen to avoid splitting any ocean, which facilitates the retrieval of latitude bands of data stored in box-order on serial media (such as magnetic tape). The 2° box system is similar, and these and other location systems, such as the historic system of Marsden Squares still used by NCDC, are described in detail in supp. G.

Any conclusion drawn from the historical record should be qualified by the fact that the observation, reporting, collection, and digitization of these data have been subject to a great deal of methodological change. Besides introducing more or less unknown inhomogeneities into many variables, these changes have sometimes been processed incorrectly. The resulting errors, as well as simple recording or transmission errors, occur very frequently. While a major effort has been made to indicate reports containing errors, some kinds of errors cannot be trapped by statistical methods. A very common error in the original data was incorrect representation of latitude and longitude, and only in extreme cases were these identified. Thus it must be remembered that while millions of errors have been identified and eliminated from the trimmed summaries, the resulting data are still far from clean. In addition, the distribution of data is highly variable in both time and space. Nevertheless, such a unique and clearly irreplaceable historical record is worthy of exhaustive study on the scale of either weather or climate, provided it is used with careful attention to these characteristics (see sec. 4 for more information).

The period of record is 1854 through 1979;* a few reports found in these data before 1854 are thought to have spurious times digitized and were excluded at later stages of processing. Owing to erroneous latitudes and longitudes, a significant amount of data also falls on land, increasing dramatically with the advent of global telecommunications (c. 1966). However, the increase is only partly real, because some inputs for earlier years had the land data deleted (see sec. 3). Reports for approximate land locations were also flagged or excluded at later stages of processing.

COADS Release 1 is the culmination of four years of cooperation among the Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), the Environmental Research Laboratories (ERL), and NCDC, joined in the last three years by NCAR. In addition to specifying requirements for the initial quality control and duplicate elimination process, and checking their proper implementation, NCDC was responsible for acquiring the bulk of the data. Programs for conversion of individual marine reports back and forth from characters to binary, sorting, input/output, and other tasks were written and executed by NCAR staff; quality control, duplicate elimination, reformatting, calculation of monthly and decadal summaries, and trimming were among those accomplished by CIRES and ERL staff. Except for testing and auxiliary steps, processing was accomplished on NCAR computers, especially their previous CDC 7600 and current Cray 1, requiring over 100 hours of Cray-equivalent CPU time.

* An update through 1984 of selected products is planned for availability in 1987.
1. Data Input

An attempt was made to integrate all available, digitized, directly sensed surface-marine data sets that would contribute information of reasonable quality, so that the final set would be as comprehensive as possible. The data sets listed in Table 1-1 were collected and input to the first stages of processing; details on each data set can be found in supp. K. An original goal of the project was to update the Atlas data set used by NCDC to construct a set of marine atlases, e.g., [11], using data from the Historical Sea Surface Temperature (HSST) Data Project. The 1854-1969 period of the Atlas was extended through 1979 using NCDC’s ’70s Decade data set, and other additions to later years such as buoy, bathythermograph, and IMMPC (International Exchange) data. Other data were included because of their high quality (Ocean Station Vessels) or remote location (South African Whaling). The data sets listed in Table 1-2 were left out for one reason or another; in addition to these, the final data set includes no remotely sensed data.

| Table 1-1
Input Sources |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Million reports (approx.)</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSST (Historical Sea Surface Temperature Data Project)</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old TDF-11 Supplements B and C</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Telecommunication</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Station Vessels, and Supplement</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsden Square 486 Pre-1940</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsden Square 105 Post-1928</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC) Surface, and Supplement</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Ship Data (file 1)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Ship Data</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMMPC (International Exchange)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South African Whaling</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilitin</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’70s Decade</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMMPC (International Exchange)*</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Station Vessel Z*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Ship Data (file 2)*</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buoy Data*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’70s Decade Mislocated Data*</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Additions solely to 1970-1979 decade.
** The approximate total includes 26.58 million relatively certain duplicates, and some seriously defective or mis-sorted reports, which were removed by initial processing steps.
Table 1-2
Excluded Input Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Million reports (approx.)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Station Vessel Upgrade (TD-1160)*</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islas Orcadas (Eltanin)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCDS (Fleet Consolidated Data Set)**</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Navy GTS (Global Telecommunication System)*</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Marine Data Bank**</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD-1117 U.S. Navy Hourlies (a few were included)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD-13SY</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD-1393 Pickets</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD-1313 Marine</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Meteorological Center Data (NMC)*</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Many of these data were included from OSV or GTS data (e.g., from U.S. Air Force Global Weather Central) within sources listed in Table 1-1.
** It is thought that most of these data were included within sources listed in Table 1-1.

2. Workplan

The overall workplan is shown jointly by Flowchart 1 (primary processing) and Flowchart 2 (secondary processing). All steps are completed, but five of the nineteen data products are not available because they have been superseded by other products as noted.

The 14 data products that are available for distribution (see secs. 2.1.1 and 2.2.1) are marked "(Avail.)." Currently, the 13 of these products that are recorded in packed binary formats can be obtained on magnetic tape from the

Data Support Section
National Center for Atmospheric Research
P. O. Box 3000
Boulder, CO 80307

or individual reports in an ascii-character format (TD-1129) can be obtained from the

Director
National Climatic Data Center
NOAA, Federal Building
Asheville, NC 28801

(Basic sets of reports and statistics, as updated, will be available indefinitely; minor products may later be reviewed for retention.) Descriptions of the available products and some of the other products and processes shown will be found in supps. A-K. See supp. H for listings of FORTRAN 77 software that may assist users in reading packed binary data products; these programs are also available at NCAR on magnetic tape.

Even though packed binary methods were employed to store all but one (product 19; TD-1129) of the 14 available data products, some of them are still very voluminous. This is because of the diversity of observed and statistical information, and the wide coverage and fine
resolution in both space and time. For users not needing complete data products, copies can usually be made for selected areas or times by NCAR or NCDC.

Since the 1970-1979 decade was processed separately throughout the initial work, separate '70s and pre-’70s files are provided for individual marine reports and other initial products (as noted in each product description in secs. 2.1.1 and 2.2.1). Depending on the application, this may or may not be a convenience to the user. An effort was made to integrate the two periods in all the final monthly summaries and other products of later stages of processing, as well as to remove data before 1854. Data over land were also removed only at later stages. This provides a measure of positional noise to be expected in supposedly legitimate samples. Supp. G shows approximately which 2° boxes are over land; a machine-readable world map showing the land boxes is available at NCAR, and was used in deleting "landlocked" data.

2.1 Primary Processing: Flowchart 1

The primary processing yielded all of the basic products, but left them in a form that is difficult for the average user to cope with because of size, ordering, and complexity. (The secondary processing seeks to manipulate these into more user-friendly arrangements.) The basic goals of the primary processing were as follows.

1) To compact and modernize the representation and ordering of individual marine reports without loss of information. For a database of this size, traditional character-based representations are extremely wasteful in both storage and processing costs. Conversion to a packed binary representation (process a on Flowchart 1) based on storing positive integers in minimal-length strings of bits was used to halve storage size (product 1 on Flowchart 1). This format was computationally efficient for the processes (b-c) of sorting, quality control, and the elimination of more than one-fourth of the reports as "certain" duplicates. Inventories (product 2) describe the distribution of reports in time and space and their source. The most commonly used portions of each unique report were also re-expressed in an extremely compact form (product 3), with flags added later (product 10) to indicate which observations failed the second (trimming) stage of quality control.

2) To summarize different variables on a monthly scale in 2° boxes, producing traditional and robust statistics for the expected value and standard deviation, as well as centroids of observational location in time and space. A first set of "untrimmed" statistics (product 4) summarizes observed variables after using flags from the initial quality control to reject gross errors, but before any further quality control (with the untrimmed statistics, or by ignoring the flags on individual observations in product 10, users retain the freedom of applying their own additional quality control). A second set of "trimmed" statistics (product 7) summarizes observed plus derived variables after further quality control to remove apparent statistical outliers. Trimming performance data (product 8) count observations trimmed from each 2° box and month.

3) In parallel with the monthly summaries, to summarize trimmed and untrimmed data on a decade-month scale in 2° boxes. Decadal summaries (products 5 and 9) may not be the "best" representatives of a decade, because of temporal inhomogeneity, but they contain statistics (such as the true decadal median) that cannot be generated from the monthly summaries. Smoothed aggregates of the untrimmed decadal summaries (product 6) were used for limits on which to perform the trimming.

The processes used to meet these goals and the products that result are shown in Flowchart 1, and described individually as follows. All the primary products are stored in packed binary formats, except that product 1 (Long Marine Reports) has a hybrid format consisting of packed binary plus characters.
Flowchart 1. Primary Processing. Data products are shown as circles and processes are shown as squares. (Note: product 3 has been superseded by product 10, and products 4, 5, and 7 have been superseded by secondary products 12-18 shown on Flowchart 2.)
2.1.1 Primary Products (Flowchart 1)

Product 1. (Avail.) Long Marine Reports (LMR*).

This is the format for individual reports output from processes a through c. LMR contain
the complete observational record, including quality flags, illegal characters, and supplemental
fields, stored in a variable-length format (refer to supp. F) averaging one-half the size of the less
complete 148 (8-bit) character NCDC result (TD-1129). Sort is by 10° box, year, month, 1°
box, day, hour, and card deck, and possible duplicates have either been eliminated or flagged.

Product 2. (Avail.) Inventories (INV).

Includes the number of individual LMR in each year-month and 10° box, as well as sum-
mary information giving (approximate) quality-control flag counts and the makeup of each 10°
separately; landlocked reports are included.


This format for individual reports contains 29 frequently used elements (see supp. E).
Sort is by 10° box, month, 2° box, year, day, hour, longitude, latitude. Coverage: 1800-1969,
1970-1979 separately; landlocked reports are included. It has been superseded by product 10.


Eight observed variables, each described by 14 statistics for 2° boxes. Sort is by 10° box,
Secondary products 13, 14, and 17 are available instead.

Product 5. Decadal Summaries Untrimmed (DSU.1).

Input to the smoothing process used to create the statistical basis for trimming outliers
separately; landlocked data are included. It has been superseded by product 12.

Product 6. (Avail.) Decadal Summary Untrimmed Limits (DSUL).

Possibly asymmetric upper and lower limits about a smoothed median were constructed
from product 5 (supp. C) and used later to trim outliers from three periods (1854-1909, 1910-
landlocked 2° boxes are flagged.


Nineteen observed and derived variables, each described by 14 statistics for 2° boxes
separately; landlocked data are deleted. Secondary products 15, 16, and 18 are available
instead.

Product 8. (Avail.) Trimming Performance (TRP).

Gives information (see supp. C) for each 2° box and year-month of the number of explicitly
trimmed variables found to be above or below the limits set by DSUL. Sort is by 10° box,

* A shorthand notation is followed to delineate the different versions of a format. Let a.nb denote the full name of a
format, where "a" represents an alphabetic string (one or more letters), separated by a period from a numeric string
"n" (one or more digits), followed by another alphabetic string "b" which may be empty (zero or more letters). Each
of these different strings has a particular usage: "a" is a mnemonic for the format (e.g., MSU stands for Monthly
Summaries Untrimmed), "n" is the version number (MSU.2), and "b" is added when the original sort order has been
changed (in MSU.2B, B stands for boxsort). In practice, the ".nb", the ",", or the "n" may be omitted where the full
name is indicated elsewhere.
2° box, year, month. Coverage: 1854-1979; landlocked data are counted.

**Product 9. (Avail.) Decadal Summaries Trimmed (DST).**

Seven variables, each described by 10 statistics (plus sums of squares and cross products of vector wind) for 2° boxes, with the format as given in supp. A. Sort is by 10° box, month, 2° box, decade. Coverage: 1854-1969, 1970-1979 separately; landlocked data are deleted.

**Product 10. (Avail.) Compressed Marine Reports (CMR.5).**

This format for individual reports contains 28 frequently used elements, and supersedes product 3 as an extremely compact alternative to LMR. Individual ship number or call sign is omitted, as are wave and swell fields, etc. During statistics pass 2 (process g), variables outside 2.8 or 3.5 (trimmed from statistics) estimated standard-deviations about a smoothed median were retained but flagged in a fixed-length format (shown in supp. D) totaling one-sixth the size of the 148 (8-bit) character NCDC result (product 19). Sort is by 10° box, month, 2° box, year, day, hour, longitude, latitude. Coverage: 1854-1969, 1970-1979 separately; landlocked reports are flagged.

2.1.2 Primary Processes (Flowchart 1)

Process a. Convert and Fix
These programs converted from a variety of tape formats and report formats into LMR. Numerous corrections and consistency checks were made. Supp. I gives details for process a.

Process b. Sort
Input data as received were sorted in many different ways. This step sorted all data into the sequence necessary for duplicate elimination (10° box, year, month, 1° box, day, hour, and card deck).

Process c. QC/dupelim
The data were first quality controlled, and the resulting flags used to select the best report in the event of duplicates. Duplicate elimination was complicated by the fact that duplicates were frequently found across hours or days. These steps were coded according to NCDC specifications as shown by supps. J and K.

Process d. Convert and Sort
This converted LMR into CMR.4; supp. E contains translation details. The sort required by the statistics programs has "month" as the first key after "10° box" in order that monthly and decadal statistics could be generated simultaneously.

Process e. Statistics Pass 1
Using as input CMR.4, this produced both 2° monthly and decadal statistics (refer to supps. A-C).

Process f. Smooth
DSU.1 resulting from Pass 1 were smoothed in order to provide limits for trimming. Line-printer plotting and hand analysis of areas such as coastlines were required to ensure proper smoothing (see supp. C).

Process g. Statistics Pass 2
Using as input CMR.4 and DSUL, this produced trimmed 2° monthly and decadal summaries, plus CMR.5 for those who wish to compute their own statistics using a clean observation set. Supps. A-C show computational details.
2.2 Secondary Processing: Flowchart 2

The products from the primary processing were individual reports, decadal summaries, and monthly summaries in a sort by 10° box, month, 2° box, year. This sort is acceptable for analyses in limited areas, but is inconvenient and costly when used for delineating global conditions at specific times. Similarly, the files at this stage contain many different statistics and climate variables in each record, and most analyses use only a few quantities at a time. Therefore, additional work was needed to make the data economical to access, and to bring the entire matrix of monthly summary output, over 9.2 billion pieces of information on 26 6250-cpi tapes, within easy reach of the individual investigator. Procedures were as follows.

1) The monthly summaries were sorted into the "timesort" of products 13 and 15 shown on Flowchart 2. The time (or synoptic) sort, by pure time (January 1855 follows December 1854, etc.) and then 2° box, permits analysis of the globe at each time step, in sequence. A "boxsort", by 2° box and then pure time within each 10° box, was completed (products 14 and 16) for studies that concentrate on a small area. The untrimmed monthly and decadal summaries also were reformatted in order to make the formats of products 11 and 12 compatible with their trimmed counterparts, and to achieve a significant (about 15%) reduction in size.

2) The monthly summaries in timesort were separated into group files so it would not be necessary to pass over unwanted data. Typically, studies will require grouping mean-estimates of a variable together with the number of observations, a standard deviation estimate, and centroids of observational location in time and space, so that smoothed grids might be generated taking into account all the different aspects of variability. The group files combine four such variable-ensembles, and serve as the primary exchange format (products 17 and 18). For some selected values of very common use, such as the mean of sea surface temperature, individual files may later be generated.

3) With major work by NCAR the individual reports were converted into NCDC's standard character format (product 19). Because of the large computing requirements, it was important that the very complex transformation be properly generated. Therefore, sample tapes were sent to NCDC to be checked.

Flowchart 2 shows the secondary products and processes, as described individually in the following. All the secondary products are stored in packed binary formats, except that product 19 (TD-1129) has an ordinary character format.

2.2.1 Secondary Products (Flowchart 2)

**Product 11. Monthly Summaries Untrimmed (MSU).**

Eight observed variables, each described by 14 statistics for 2° boxes, with the format as given in supp. A (this carries essentially the same information as product 4, but in a more efficient format compatible with that of its trimmed counterpart, product 7). Sort is by 10° box, month, 2° box, year. Coverage: 1854-1969, 1970-1979 separately; landlocked data are included. Products 13, 14, and 17 are available instead.

**Product 12. (Avail.) Decadal Summaries Untrimmed (DSU).**

Six variables, each described by eight statistics (plus sums of squares and cross products of vector wind) for 2° boxes, with the format as given in supp. A (this carries essentially the same information as product 5, but in a more efficient format similar to that of its trimmed counterpart, product 9). Sort is by 10° box, month, 2° box, decade. Coverage: 1854-1969, 1970-1979 separately; landlocked data are included.
Flowchart 2. Secondary Processing. Data products are shown as circles and processes are shown as squares.

Eight observed variables, each described by 14 statistics for 2° boxes, with the format as given in supp. A. Sort is by year, month, 2° box (also called synoptic sort). Coverage: 1854-1979; landlocked data are included.


This is product 13, sorted instead by 10° box, 2° box, year, month. Coverage: 1854-1979; landlocked data are included.

Product 15. (Avail.) Monthly Summaries Trimmed Timesort (MST.T).

Nineteen observed and derived variables, each described by 14 statistics for 2° boxes, with the format as given in supp. A. Sort is by year, month, 2° box (also called synoptic sort). Coverage: 1854-1979; landlocked data are deleted.


This is product 15, sorted instead by 10° box, 2° box, year, month. Coverage: 1854-1979; landlocked data are deleted.

Product 17. (Avail.) Monthly Summary Untrimmed Groups (MSUG) and Product 18. (Avail.) Monthly Summary Trimmed Groups (MSTG).

These files (described in supp. B) are intended as a manageable alternative to the timesort files, in terms of processing and storage costs, for studies using only a few variables and statistics. Sort is by year, month, 2° box (also called synoptic sort). Coverage: 1854-1979; landlocked data are deleted.

The two untrimmed groups (numbered 1-2) and the five trimmed groups (numbered 3-7) each contain four variables, with eight statistics included for each variable. For example, group 3 contains these statistics:

- median
- mean
- number of observations
- standard deviation estimate: \((\text{fifth-first sextile})/2\)
- mean day-of-month of observations
- hour statistic
- mean longitude of observations
- mean latitude of observations

for these variables:

- sea surface temperature
- air temperature
- specific humidity
- relative humidity

Group 4 contains the same statistics for these variables:

- scalar wind
- vector wind eastward component
- vector wind northward component
- sea level pressure

A subset of the full observational record in LMR is now available for distribution by NCDC in its TD-1129 ascii-character format. This is a 148-character format (see supp. I) sorted by Marsden Square, year, month, 1° Marsden Square, day, hour, card deck. (NCDC plans to re-sort this by Marsden Square, 1° Marsden Square, year, month, day, hour.) Coverage: 1800-1969, 1970-1979 separately; landlocked data are flagged.

2.2.2 Secondary Processes (Flowchart 2)

Processes h. and i. Reformat
These two steps compressed the identification fields. The same bit manipulations can now extract them out from any summary, whether decadal or monthly.

Processes j. and l. Time Sort
The monthly summaries were sorted by year-month and then 2° box.

Processes k. and m. Box Sort
The monthly summaries were sorted by 2° box and then year-month, within each 10° box. Contrast this with the sort of products 7 and 11.

Processes n. and o. Split
The complete monthly summary matrices (untrimmed 8 variables x 14 statistics, trimmed 19 variables x 14 statistics) were split up into group files (4 variables x 8 statistics). In this process, the centroids of time/space location were shortened in length and precision (as given in supp. B).

Process p. Convert and Sort
This converts LMR back to TD-1129 for NCDC. A sort is required in order to change the first key from "10° box" to "Marsden Square."

3. Data Output

Results here show characteristics of the data at various stages, primarily after process c (QC/dupelim). Except for the summaries output from process g (statistics pass 2) and their derivatives, these results also include substantial amounts of data over land that were removed only at later stages of processing. For example, only two 10° boxes in the 1970s have no apparent data.

Table 3-1a lists the number of product records output from various processes; Tables 3-1b and 3-1c give related percentages. The precise definition of "certain" and "uncertain" duplicates (dups) is given by supp. K -- but it will suffice at this stage to allude to the degree of certainty in correctly identifying dups, with the "uncertain" being retained with flags in the LMR output, and removed from the TD-1129. The 1970s output is tabulated separately in each case, even if it was not run separately.
Table 3-1a
Process Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Pre-'70s</th>
<th>'70s</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b.^a sort</td>
<td>LMR</td>
<td>74,633,905</td>
<td>23,817,437</td>
<td>98,451,342^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. QC/dupelim</td>
<td>1. LMR (total)</td>
<td>53,185,975</td>
<td>18,682,484</td>
<td>71,868,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. LMR (uncertain)</td>
<td>329,233</td>
<td>57,825</td>
<td>387,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. statistics pass 2</td>
<td>8. TRP</td>
<td>3,699,340</td>
<td>833,847</td>
<td>4,533,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. DST</td>
<td>765,745^c</td>
<td>102,463^c</td>
<td>868,208^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. CMR.5</td>
<td>52,840,447</td>
<td>18,622,039</td>
<td>71,462,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. reformat</td>
<td>12. DSU</td>
<td>776,543</td>
<td>128,122</td>
<td>904,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. time sort</td>
<td>13. MSU.T</td>
<td>3,680,781</td>
<td>788,866</td>
<td>4,469,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. box sort</td>
<td>14. MSU.B</td>
<td>3,680,781</td>
<td>788,866</td>
<td>4,469,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. time sort</td>
<td>15. MST.T</td>
<td>3,685,123^c</td>
<td>785,223^c</td>
<td>4,470,346^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m. box sort</td>
<td>16. MST.B</td>
<td>3,685,123</td>
<td>785,223</td>
<td>4,470,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n. split</td>
<td>17. MSUG (each group)</td>
<td>3,680,781</td>
<td>788,866</td>
<td>4,469,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o. split</td>
<td>18. MSTG (each group)</td>
<td>3,685,123</td>
<td>785,223</td>
<td>4,470,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p. convert and sort</td>
<td>19. TD-1129</td>
<td>52,856,742</td>
<td>18,624,659</td>
<td>71,481,401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Letters and numbers refer to Flowcharts 1 and 2 (LMR output from process b was an intermediate product).

^b The discrepancy between the total from process b and that given in Table 1-1 is largely because of the removal of seriously defective or mis-sorted reports prior to this stage.

^c It is thought that deletion of land data mainly accounts for the drop in the number of DST in comparison to DSU, but that inclusion of Monterey Telecom. (card deck 555) data only in the trimmed summaries more or less compensates for this effect in the number of MST in comparison to MSU. Supp. A has details on these and other criteria governing summary output.

Table 3-1b
Duplication Percentages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of process output</th>
<th>Pre-'70s</th>
<th>'70s</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>percentage of b duplicate (certain + uncertain)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage of c uncertain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3-1c
Process b and c Output Percentages by Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source*</th>
<th>Pre-'70s</th>
<th>'70s</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-GTS</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buoy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMMPC</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NODC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSST</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Global telecommunication system (GTS) data were identified by card deck (see supp. F): 555, 666, 849, 850, 886, 889, 999. Non-GTS data comprise all other card decks, as well as identifiable data from the remaining categories: buoy decks 143, 876-882; IMMPC 128, 926-928; NODC 891; and HSST 150-156.

Figure 3-1 gives a curve of global reports by month from the early 1850s through 1979. Except for 50 suspect reports in 1800-1807, the area under this curve corresponds to the total from process c in Table 3-1a. Breaking the globe up into four somewhat arbitrary basins according to Figure 3-2 gives the set of curves shown by Figures 3-3 through 3-6. Of course these curves show nothing about variations in the spatial density within each area (see Figures 3-8 through 3-21, and Table 3-2), but can be used as a rough gauge of the temporal reliability of any conclusion drawn over such a large area.

The highest curve in Figure 3-7 is like that of Figure 3-1, but shows global reports per year rather than per month. Underneath are two curves of global reports per year input to dupelim: 1) from NCDC’s Atlas data set, extended for 1970-1979 using their '70s Decade data set and 2) from the HSST data set. These three data sets are the largest inputs to COADS, and significant data sets scientifically.

Figure 3-8 (p. 25) is a map showing, for each 10° box, the log_{10} of reports output from dupelim, summed for all months from 1854 through 1979. The log_{10} is blank only for a box containing no data whatsoever, i.e., box 638. Figures 3-9 through 3-21 are similar maps for decades (starting with the fractional decade 1854-1859, then 1860-1869, etc.). Note the increase through time of data over land, especially for the 1960s and 1970s. This is coincident with when the global telecommunication system (GTS) starts, but is at least partly an artifact of previous editing procedures that removed earlier land data.
Figure 3-3. Basin 1 ATLANTIC reports after duplicate elimination.

Figure 3-4. Basin 2 INDIAN reports after duplicate elimination.
Figure 3-1. Global reports after duplicate elimination.

Figure 3-2. Basins and 10° boxes.
Figure 3-5. Basin 3 PACIFIC reports after duplicate elimination.

Figure 3-6. Basin 4 ANTARCTIC reports after duplicate elimination.
Figure 3-7. Annual global reports after duplicate elimination (solid); Atlas input (dotted, through 1969) continued by '70s Decade (dotted, 1970-1979); and HSST input (dashed, through 1961).

Table 3-2 is a frequency distribution of the number of untrimmed monthly summaries (i.e., year-month-2° boxes) having different counts of sea surface temperature observations for statistics. These are given for four different 10° boxes (see Figure 3-2), for the '70s decade. As one goes back in time, more of the boxes will have fewer observations.

Table 3-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observations of sea surface temperature</th>
<th>(10^\circ) box</th>
<th>(163) (Gulf of Alaska)</th>
<th>(176) (Spanish Coast)</th>
<th>(420) (N. Chile)</th>
<th>(438) (S. Indian Ocean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>553</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-99</td>
<td>2519</td>
<td>2349</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3-3 gives, for different variables and time periods, the number of observations input to process g (statistics pass 2); the percentage of those observations trimmed, and thus excluded from the monthly and decadal summaries; and an estimate of the percentage of those observations that might be mislocated, assuming the number on approximate land locations (counted separately) was representative of the mislocation rate over water. Refer to supp. C for more information on the trimming process.

Table 3-3
Trimming Performance Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>U and V</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>input (million observations)</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.19</td>
<td>50.15</td>
<td>50.88</td>
<td>37.09</td>
<td>23.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-'70s</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>17.79</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>13.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'70s</td>
<td></td>
<td>63.25</td>
<td>68.05</td>
<td>68.67</td>
<td>54.65</td>
<td>36.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage trimmed</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-'70s</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'70s</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage mislocated (est.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-'70s</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'70s</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One condition required before relative humidity R could be computed was that air temperature A not be trimmed; this is the percentage of R (only) trimmed afterwards.
Table 3-4 shows blocking factors chosen for writing out different formats onto 6250-cpi tape. Whenever convenient, block sizes were chosen to be evenly divisible by 60 or 64 bits, and as large as possible but less than or equal to 29,760 bits (i.e., 496*60 or 465*64 bits). These constraints are based on the efficient capabilities of a wide variety of computers currently in use.

Table 3-4
Default 6250-cpi Blocking of Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gbit</th>
<th>Tapes</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Record length (bits)</th>
<th>Blocked</th>
<th>Block size (bits)</th>
<th>60-bit words</th>
<th>64-bit words</th>
<th>Record count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>LMR</td>
<td>549b</td>
<td>169c</td>
<td>64,000c</td>
<td>1,066.7</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>71,668,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>INV</td>
<td>69,294b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>198,240c</td>
<td>3,304</td>
<td>3,097.5</td>
<td>1,285d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>17e</td>
<td>CMR.4</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>71,462,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>11e</td>
<td>MSU.1</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>4,699,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>DSIU.1</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>904,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DSSUL</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>583,272f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>17e</td>
<td>MST</td>
<td>3,712g</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55,680</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>4,470,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TRP</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>26,880</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>4,533,187f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>DTM</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26,880</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>868,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>CRP.5</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>71,462,486f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>MSU</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30,400</td>
<td>506.7</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>4,699,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DSIU.1</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>904,665f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>MSU.1</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>4,699,647f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>MSU.1</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>4,699,647f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>MST.1</td>
<td>3,712</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55,680</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>4,470,346f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>MST.1</td>
<td>3,712</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55,680</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>4,470,346f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>MSG</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>57,600</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>4,469,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>MSG</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>57,600</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>4,469,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>117h</td>
<td>TD-1129</td>
<td>1,184i</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>82,880</td>
<td>1,381.3</td>
<td>1,295</td>
<td>71,481,401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The Gigabit (10^9 bits) is a convenient unit of size because it represents approximately the amount of data that will fit on one 6250-cpi tape.

b Actual record length is variable; this is an estimated average.

c Actual blocking factor or block size is variable; this is the maximum (found in INV or possible in LMR).

d There are 639 and 646 extant 10^6 boxes in the pre-70s and 70s, respectively.

e The number of TAPES is estimated using FORTRAN (allowing 9-character variable names):

   IMPLICIT INTEGER(A-Z)
   TAPES = ((COUNT - 1) / BLOCKED + 1) * (BLOCKSIZE + 15000) + 648 * 15000
   TAPES = (TAPES - 1) / 1300000000 + 1

   which assumes record gaps and 648 file marks of 15,000 bits each on 2,400 foot 6250-cpi tapes.

f Binary-zero records that fill out short blocks are not included in this count or that for Gbit.

g Cannot meet the blocking criteria given earlier.
h There are 67 tapes for the pre-70s and 30 estimated for the 70s.
i Cannot meet the blocking criteria given earlier, but the divisibility by 60 or 64 bits is less applicable.

4. Cautions

   Final cautions for the user: instrumental methods, observational methods, coding methods, ship tracks in time and space, ship construction, data density -- all these have undergone historical changes, the majority of which are unrecorded in the data sets from which COADS has been derived, and so could not be made a part of it. These inhomogeneities are compounded by the significant percentage of errors that occur at every stage of observation, recording, transmission, and processing.
Whenever possible, flags, indicators, centroids of location, and robust statistics have been provided to signal or alleviate some of these problems. A few known problems should be emphasized (see also supp. K for background on problems in specific data sets):

**Bucket Indicators.** Sea surface temperatures measured by intake (or injection) have been shown, in earlier work summarized by [9], to be higher by roughly 0.5 °C than those measured by bucket. Unfortunately, an explicit indicator for the method used is available only starting in 1968, and only in manuscript data; documentation problems render even this indicator unusable for U.S. recruited ships prior to around May 1973. As was done in the HSST project, many earlier data can be more or less safely categorized as bucket or "unknown" solely on the basis of historical knowledge about the different card decks. In COADS a flag is included that is set if an individual report came from the HSST set or matches an HSST report. Thus this flag can be used to imply bucket measurement. Together with the somewhat unreliable flag value that directly specifies bucket measurement in later years, this may help users of individual reports to separate bucket from unknown data. However, [1] raised the possibility that some decks included in the HSST were subject to intake contamination. This conclusion was verified to a small extent in dupelim by the discovery of matches between deck 116 (U.S. Merchant Marine intake data) and the HSST. Observations were included in the monthly and decadal summaries without regard to bucket indicators.

**Wind Speed.** The "old" Beaufort scale as detailed in supp. K was used to bracket each estimated speed at a value in m s⁻¹. It should be noted that the mixture of speeds estimated first by sail, second by sea state, and later measured, yields potentially inhomogeneous data.

**Wind Direction.** Similarly, the different compass codes shown in supp. F have been bracketed at a value in whole degrees.

**Daytime/Nighttime Observations.** [9] discusses the different biases associated with daytime versus nighttime observations. Instead of summarizing separate statistics for day and night -- a task that would probably have doubled already large computing and storage requirements -- the trimmed statistics carry the fraction of observations in approximate daylight, to permit some adjustments.

**Ship Type.** Considerable effort was devoted to making readily available an existing indicator for type of observing vessel, or attempting to derive it where none was available (see supp. I). Unfortunately, these efforts failed in many cases. Even where they succeeded, the results should be treated with suspicion, because of a lack of adequate past documentation. For instance, many OSV (Ocean Station Vessel) data are not identified as such starting around 1970.

**Wave and Swell Fields.** These fields were subject to extensive WMO (World Meteorological Organization) code changes effective 1 July 1963 and 1 January 1968, which were not necessarily followed promptly by observers although conversion procedures usually assumed they were. Special caution should be exercised around those dates. Periods of (wind) wave and swell should be considered highly questionable prior to 1968 for internationally exchanged data assigned to card deck 926. This is because conversion procedures assumed data were in the pre-1968 code; but when exchanged years later, they sometimes were digitized according to more recent codes.

**Monthly Summaries.** Statistics pass 2 (process g) used 3.5 estimated standard deviations about a smoothed median as thresholds for including data in the trimmed monthly summaries. Although Table 3-3 lists considerably more than the 0.04% trimming performance expected from a normal distribution, outliers may still be found, especially in small samples (e.g., < 3 observations). The median and other robust statistics, such as the standard deviation estimate from the first and fifth sextiles (used for establishing trimming limits), are
recommended as more robust and outlier-resistant alternatives to the mean and ordinary stan-
dard deviation about the mean. It should be noted that no attempt was made to otherwise
correct for instrumental or observational biases, such as bucket and intake data or observations
at night and day. Also, the relatively noisy Monterey Telecom. data set (card deck 555) was
excluded from the untrimmed monthly and decadal summaries, but permitted in the trimmed
monthly and decadal summaries after trimming limits had been set.

Some of these problems can be overcome, for studies that seek to detect any slight changes
in climate, by recourse to the individual reports. This would be less prohibitive if carried out in
limited regions and times containing adequate coverage, in which it might be feasible to discrim-
inate between bucket and intake, night and day, etc.
Figure 3-10. $10^x$ boxes (smaller numerals) over log$_{10}$ of reports 1860-1869 (larger numerals).
Figure 3-11. 10° boxes (smaller numerals) over $\log_{10}$ of reports 1870-1879 (larger numerals).
Figure 3-12. $10^x$ boxes (smaller numerals) over $\log_{10}$ of reports 1880-1889 (larger numerals).
Figure 3-14. $10^n$ boxes (smaller numerals) over log$_{10}$ of reports 1900-1909 (larger numerals).
Figure 3-15. 10° boxes (smaller numerals) over log_{10} of reports 1910-1919 (larger numerals).
Figure 3.19. 10° boxes (smaller numerals) over log_{10} of reports 1950-1959 (larger numerals).
Figure 3-21. $10^n$ boxes (smaller numerals) over $\log_{10}$ of reports 1970-1979 (larger numerals).
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