Negative COADS 1c sea-level pressure anomalies in the 1850s

Todd Mitchell and John M. Wallace University of Washington, Seattle, USA <u>mitchell@atmos.washington.edu</u>

For a study of historical ENSO variability we calculated a Southern Oscillation index from COADS 1c sea-level pressure (SLP) anomalies. Two indices were defined: one as the average SLP anomaly over the central and eastern Pacific Ocean (within 20-degrees of the equator), called T*, and the other as the average SLP anomaly over the Atlantic, Indian, and western Pacific Oceans (within 20-degrees of the equator), called D*. The averaging regions, and the time series for these averages are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. Also shown in Fig. 2 is the difference, D* minus T*, which is our Southern Oscillation index, and the mean marine SLP anomaly for the 20N–20S latitude band.

Fig.1: T* (light shading) eastern Pacific; and D* (dark shading) Indian and Atlantic



Anomalies are with respect to 1950–79, they have been smoothed with successive 13– and 9–month running means, and the ordinate tics are at 1mb interval. The average anomalies for both indices are typically < -1mb in the 1850s.

Figure 3 is the average SLP anomaly for 1850–59 (again with respect to 1950–79). The average anomaly is large and negative over substantial portions of the work oceans.



Observations for a better sampled grid box with a large mean negative SLP anomaly in the tropics are examined next. The 2-degree COADS grid box I picked is 7985, which is 0-2N, 128-130E, and is just east of Halmahera in the maritime continent. The mean 1855-59 anomaly is something like -2.4 mb for this grid box. A map and time series of this grid box are given in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.





Almost all of the monthly values are negative and large, and the months with data have at least a few observations.

David Parker of the UKMO seemed to think that these might be some of the Maury collection observations. I do not want to err in paraphrasing David so I won't go any farther in trying to identify the source of the problem. At the marine climate data workshop in Boulder CO in January 2002, the analyses that I presented were based on the data without the ZQ problem fixed (too many observations before 1860 were thrown out by a quality control step <u>http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/coads/zq.html</u>). The analyses in the present document are based on SLP data obtained post–ZQ problem. The conclusions are not affected by the ZQ problem.

22 July 2002